

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,929	(	08/18/2003	Kenji Sakai	2281.2.15	3856
21552	7590	05/10/2006		EXAMINER	
MADSON			GOUDREAU, GEORGE A		
GATEWAY SUITE 900	TOWER	WEST	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
15 WEST S			1763		
SALT LAK	E CITY, L	JT 84101		DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			yk
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/642,929	SAKAI ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	George A. Goudreau	1763	<del></del>
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dates</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or</li> </ol>	<u>nsideration</u> and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	` ''		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			•
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

See Continuation Sheet.

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly presented limitations in claims 5, and 25 require further consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The newly presented claims will not be entered for the same reasons as those which are stated above. Applicant's arguments regarding the patentability of these claims are moot since the proposed after final amendment to the claims will not be entered by the examiner.